

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/527,576	03/11/2005	Northon Rodrigues	PU020393	7300	
24498 THOMSON L	7590 11/02/2007		EXAMINER		
THOMSON LICENSING LLC Two Independence Way			HO, ANDY		
Suite 200 PRINCETON, NJ 08540			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TRINCLION,	, 113 00570		2194		
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/527,576 RODRIGUES ET AL.				\leq			
Examiner	9.5	Application No.	Applicant(s)	250			
Andy Ho		10/527,576	RODRIGUES ET AL.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheef with the correspondence address — Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extendence for ten may be evaluated the provides of 3 CFR 1.1304, in one earth lowers, may reby be timely filled above. The mailing data of 3 CFR 1.1304, in one earth lowers, may reby be timely filled above. The mailing data of this communication. Fallute is expendent on the provided of the communication. Fallute is expendent on the mailing data of this communication. Fallute is expendent on the mailing data of this communication. Fallute is expendent on the mailing data of this communication, even if tendy filed, may reduce any search grained than supplication. Set of CFR 1.7004. 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 August 2007. 20 ■ This action is FINAL. 2) ■ Claim(s) 1.3.5-8 and 10-14 la/are pending in the application. 4) ■ Claim(s) 1.3.5-8 and 10-14 la/are pending in the application. 4) ■ Claim(s) 1.3.5-8 and 10-14 la/are rejected. 7) ■ The drawing(s) filed on is/are. all accepted or bl objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ■ The drawing(s) filed on is/are. all accepted or bl objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ■ The drawing(s) filed on is/are. all accepted or bl objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ■ The drawing(s) filed on is/are. all accepted or bl objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ■ Claim(s) 1.3.5-8 and 10-14 la/are provided. 2) ■ Claim(s) 1.3.5-8 and 10-14 la/are provided. 3) ■ Claim(s) 1.3.5-8 and 10-14 la/are provided. 4) ■ Claim(s) 1.3.5-8 and 10-14 la/are provided. 4) ■ Claim(s) 1.3.5-8 and 10-14 la/are provided.	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Escapsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a), in ore event, however, may a risply se timely filed. - Establish of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a), in ore event, however, may a risply se timely filed or the provision of the provis							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of the many be available under the providence of 30° CPR 1.130°Ls, in ne event, however, may a raply to limity fined 1 th Vio period for raply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Fallute for require is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Fallute for require is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Fallute for require is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Fallute for require its malgitament. See 97 CFR 1.704(4). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 August 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.3.5-8 and 10-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.3.5-8 and 10-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3.5-8 and 10-14 is/are rejected to 20 (1) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.55(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) objected to. See 37 CFR 1.52(d). 11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d)		appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address –				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 August 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.3.5-8 and 10-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.3.5-8 and 10-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are are subjected to. 8) Claim(s) are subjected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some One of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received in this National Stage application from the Internat	WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum statutory.	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communicat BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b ☐ This action is non-final. 3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 ☐ Claim(s) 1.3.5-8 and 10-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a ☐ accepted or b ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not propried COMMINER accepted of Comminer Statement(s) (PTO-948) 3 ☐ Homeston Discosure Statement(s) (PTO-948) 3 ☐ Information Discosure Statement(s) (PTO-950(a) 3 ☐ Information Discosure Statement(s) (PTO-951(a) 3 ☐ Information Discosure Statement(s) (PTO-951(a) 3 ☐ Information Discosure Statement(s) (PTO-951(a) 5 ☐ Information Discosure Statement(s) (PTO-951(a) 5 ☐ Inf	Status		•	•			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.3.5-8 and 10-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received in this National Stage application of Transparson's Patent Drawner Patent Exhanner Patent Application * Paper No(s)/Mail Date	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	0 August 2007.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.3.5-8 and 10-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not provided on the certified copies of	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ T	a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
Al) Claim(s) 1,3,5-8 and 10-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	·— · · ·	•		is			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3,5-8 and 10-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received in this National Stage Application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Altachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disolosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/5B/08)	Disposition of Claims						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not peopled. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 20 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 30 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 51 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5-8 and 10-14</u> is/are pending i	n the application.					
Claim(s) 1.3.5-8 and 10-14 is/are rejected. To Claim(s)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	,						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	,	W 1 W 2 2					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers		•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b)⊡ objected to	by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received in the Centre 2100 * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received in the Centre 2100 * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received in this National Stage application Formula Patent EXAMINER TECHNICLES (PTO-413) * Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **See The attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **See The attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). **See The attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). **See The attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). **See The attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received in Application No **June 19	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
. a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received in this National Stage application * Office of the certified copies not received in this National Stage application * Office of the certified copies not received in this National Stage application * Office of the certified copies not received in this National Stage application * Office of the certified copies not received in this National Stage application * Office of the certified copies not received in this National Stage application * Office of the certified copies not received in this National Stage application * Office of the certified copies not received in this National Stage application * Office of the certified copies not received in this National Stage application * Office of the certified copies not received in this National Stage application * Office of the certified copies not received in this National Stage application * Office of the certified copies not received in this National Stage application * Office of the certified copie	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received in this National Stage application **THOMSON** **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received in this National Stage application **THOMSON** **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received in this National Stage application **THOMSON** **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received in this National Stage application **THOMSON** **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received in this National Stage application **THOMSON** **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received in this National Stage application **THOMSON** **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received in this National Stage application **THOMSON** **JOHNOOLOGY CENTER 2100** **Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received **Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Open Statement Interview Summary (PTO-413)	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received ** See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. ** Attached List of the certified copies not received. ** Attached List of the certified copies not received. ** Attached List of the certified copies not received. ** Attached List of the certified copies not received. ** Attached List of the certified copies not received. ** Attached List of the certified copies not received. ** Attached List of the certified copies not received. ** Attached List of the certified copies not received. ** Attached List of the certified copies not received. ** Atta							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received **INDIASON **SUPPLIANT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2160 **Attachment(s) **INDIASON **Paper No(s)/Mail Date. **Paper No(s)/Mail Date. **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2160 **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 21			n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application		/1					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	* See the attached detailed Office action for a .	WILLIA	MYHONISON EXAMINER				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachment(s)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of	Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/527,576 Page 2

Art Unit: 2194

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed 8/20/2007.

2. Claims 1, 3, 5-8, and 10-14 have been examined and are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 8 and 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The following terms lack antecedent basis:

(i) said defined group – line 8 claim 8. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/527,576

Art Unit: 2194

4. Claims 1, 5-8 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Angal U.S Patent No. 6,298,378 in view of Goodrich U.S Patent No. 6,516,326.

As to claim 1, Angal teaches a method for prescribing a device notified in response to an event related to the operation of a data network (event listener being notified when events occurred from other entities in the network wherein such events include changes in state of network devices, lines 20-29 column 2, lines 48-64 column 4) and said event comprising the steps of:

defining said event pertaining to said operation of a data network, wherein said operation concerns the status of the data network or a device of said data network (defining filters, wherein such filters describe the types of events that the event listeners want to be notified of, types of events include changes in state of network devices, lines 20-29 column 2, lines 28-55 column 5, Fig. 4);

assigning a group consisting of a plurality of devices (lines 15-53 column 6) of said data network to said event (event subscriber is being associated with the filter, Fig. 4 and associated reading);

assigning a rule to said event, wherein said rule defines at least one condition for triggering a notification of said event to said assigned device (rules of the filter, Fig. 4; such rules defines conditional statements corresponding to events required by the event listener, lines 42-55 column 5), and

said condition is to be activated when matched to a notification of the operation of said data network (determination is made whether an occurred event matches the

Application/Control Number: 10/527,576

Art Unit: 2194

filter, if matched then the event listener would be notified of the occurred event, lines 28-55 column 5).

Angal teaches the system is implemented using a user interface, with the help from a topology service, which allows a network administrator to maintain the network devices including event communications among them (lines 4-30 column 4). However, Angal does not explicitly teach the use of a topology editor. Goodrich teaches a network system wherein network topology is implemented in a user interface, the Network Topology Editor, which is used by a user to interact with network devices (lines 30-47 column 16). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Angal reference to include the teachings of Goodrich reference because by using a topology editor, the system could allow a user to use simple operations such as drag and drop to interact with network devices as disclosed by Goodrich (lines 30-47 column 16).

As to claim 5, Angal as modified further teaches an event group is defined for assigning a second device to said event (S1 is defined for event listeners L1 and L2, Fig. 8A, lines 31-53 column 6). Note the discussion of claim 1 above for the reason of combining references relating to the use of a topology editor.

As to claim 6, Angal as modified further teaches said device and said second device of said event group are notified with an action provider when said condition is matched to said notification (S1 forwards filtered events to event listeners L1 and L2, Fig. 8A, lines 31-53 column 6).

Application/Control Number: 10/527,576

Art Unit: 2194

As to claim 7, Angal as modified further teaches the notification is rendered in view of a severity option (the severity of the event, lines 1-3 column 5).

As to claim 8, Angal teaches a method of notifying a group of devices (lines 15-53 column 6) in response to an event related to the operation of a data network (event listener being notified when events occurred from other entities in the network wherein such events include changes in state of network devices, lines 20-29 column 2, lines 48-64 column 4) comprising the steps of:

receiving a notification (receives the event, line 34 column 5) related to the operation of the data network, wherein said operation is related to a status of the data network or a device of the data network (events occurred from other entities in the network wherein such events include changes in state of network devices, lines 20-29 column 2, lines 48-64 column 4);

comparing said notification to a condition of a rule (rules of the filter, Fig. 4; such rules defines conditional statements corresponding to events required by the event listener, lines 42-55 column 5);

notifying a defined group of devices (lines 15-53 column 6) associated with said event with an action provider (determination is made whether an occurred event matches the filter, if matched then the event listener would be notified of the occurred event, lines 28-55 column 5).

Angal teaches the system is implemented using a user interface, with the help from a topology service, which allows a network administrator to maintain the network devices including event communications among them (lines 4-30 column 4). However,

Angal does not explicitly teach the use of a topology editor. Goodrich teaches a network system wherein network topology is implemented in a user interface, the Network Topology Editor, which is used by a user to interact with network devices (lines 30-47 column 16). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Angal reference to include the teachings of Goodrich reference because by using a topology editor, the system could allow a user to use simple operations such as drag and drop to interact with network devices as disclosed by Goodrich (lines 30-47 column 16).

As to claims 11-12, they are methods claims of claims 5-6, respectively.

Therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 5-6 above.

As to claim 13, Goodrich further teaches the topology editor operates with in view of consumer electronics enabled interoperability standard (systems with incompatible formats can be communicated with the others, lines 11-20 column 1).

Note the discussion of claim 1 above for the reason of combining references relating to the use of a topology editor.

5. Claims 3, 10 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Angal in view of Goodrich, and further in view of Vining U.S Patent No. 7,152,075.

As to claim 3, Angal as modified further teaches transmitting SNMP trap in response to an activated rule (SNMP trap daemon, line 56 column 5 to line 14 column 6). However, Angal does not teach a SNMP manager. Vining teaches a network event

Application/Control Number: 10/527,576 Page 7

Art Unit: 2194

notification system wherein a SNMP manager is used to transmit SNMP trap in response to an activated rule (Fig. 1, lines 7-35 column 5). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Angal reference as modified by Goodrich to include the teachings of Vining reference because by using a SNMP manager, the event notification system could further process SNMP trap as disclosed by Vining (lines 7-35 column 5).

As to claim 10, it is a method claim of claim 3. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claim 3 above.

As to claim 14, Angal as modified further teaches controlling the operation of said device in view of a second action provider (notifying filtered events to event listeners, lines 31-53 column 6; sending request, controlling devices, lines 52-57 column 3). However, Angal does not teach controlling an action manager. Vining teaches a network event notification system wherein an action manager such as a SNMP manager is used to transmit SNMP trap in response to an activated rule (Fig. 1, lines 7-35 column 5). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Angal reference as modified by Goodrich to include the teachings of Vining reference because by using an action manager such as a SNMP manager, the event notification system could further process SNMP trap as disclosed by Vining (lines 7-35 column 5).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 8/20/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Page 8

Applicant argued that the cited references do not teach assigning a group of devices to an event (Remarks, last incomplete paragraph page 5 to second complete paragraph page 6). In response, the applicant argued a new limitation that was not claimed before. However, this new limitation is still met by a previous cited reference as disclosed in the claim rejections above.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andy Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-3762.

A voice mail service is also available for this number. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday, 8:30 am – 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Thomson can be reached on (571) 272-3718.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIM) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or' Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2100.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or fax to:

- AFTER-FINAL faxes must be signed and sent to (571) 273 8300.
- OFFICAL faxes must be signed and sent to (571) 273 8300.
- NON OFFICAL faxes should not be signed, please send to (571) 273 3762

A.H October 26, 2007

WILLIAM THOMSON
WILLIAM THOMSON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
SUPERVINOLOGY CENTER 2100